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## High-Dimensional Data

- Dimension $d$ is very large (often $d>n$ ):

- Exploratory analysis
- Heatmaps, Principal components analysis (PCA), projection pursuit, clustering, etc...


## Example: Mice

- Expression data available for 21,289 genes $(d=21,289)$ on 142 mice ( $n=142$ ).
- Mice from 21 genetic strains.
- 79 mice given dose of alcohol.

- Heatmap (red = high values; blue=low values)
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- Data matrix $X: d \times n$
- Approximate $X$ in factorized form:

- U:d×r are the variable "loadings"
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## Principal Components Analysis (PCA)

- Data matrix $X: d \times n$
- Approximate $X$ in factorized form:

- U:d×r are the variable "loadings"
- S: $r \times n$ are the sample "scores"
- $\tilde{X}=U S$ is the rank $r$ matrix that minimizes

$$
\|X-\tilde{X}\|_{F}^{2}=\sum_{i, j}\left(x_{i j}-\tilde{x}_{i j}\right)^{2}
$$

- Computation
- Eigen-analysis of $X^{\prime} X$.
- Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) of $X$.
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- Approximate $X$ in factorized form:
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## Mice PCA scores
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## Integrated Analysis

- Goals
- Examine global associations across datatypes.
- Identify sample patterns consistent across multiple datatypes.
- Identify patterns unique to a particular datatype.


## Toy Example: Two Datatypes



## Toy Example: Two Datatypes



## PCA Approximation

- PCA as a low rank approximation:



## PCA Approximation $(r=1)$



## JIVE decomposition

- Joint and Individual Variation Explained (JIVE):



## JIVE decomposition $\left(r=r_{1}=r_{2}=1\right)$



PCA vs JIVE

- PCA:

- JIVE:



## JIVE decomposition

- Multiple datatypes $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$ of dimension $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ on the same set of $n$ samples.
- Decomposition:

$$
X=\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{1} \\
X_{2} \\
\vdots \\
X_{k}
\end{array}\right]=\overbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
J_{1} \\
J_{2} \\
\vdots \\
J_{k}
\end{array}\right]}^{J}+\overbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
A_{1} \\
A_{2} \\
\vdots \\
A_{k}
\end{array}\right]}^{A}+\overbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
R_{1} \\
R_{2} \\
\vdots \\
R_{k}
\end{array}\right]}^{R}
$$

- $J: p \times n$ is rank $r$.
- $A_{i}: p_{i} \times n$ are rank $r_{i}$.
- $R_{i}: p_{i} \times n$ are residual matrices.


## JIVE decomposition (factorized form)

- Relationship to PCA:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{1} & =\overbrace{U_{1} S}^{J_{1}}+\overbrace{W_{1} S_{1}}^{A_{1}}+R_{1} \\
\vdots & \\
X_{k} & =U_{k} S+W_{k} S_{k}+R_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $S$ is an $r \times n$ score matrix explaining joint variation across datatypes.
- $U_{i}$ are $p_{i} \times r$ loading matrices.
- $S_{i}$ are $r_{i} \times n$ score matrices explaining unique variation.
- $W_{i}$ are $p_{i} \times r_{i}$ loading matrices.


## Estimation

- Fixed ranks $r, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k}$.
- Minimize sum of squared residuals $\|R\|_{F}^{2}$, where

$$
R=\left[\begin{array}{c}
R_{1} \\
R_{2} \\
\vdots \\
R_{k}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{1}-J_{1}-A_{1} \\
X_{2}-J_{2}-A_{2} \\
\vdots \\
X_{k}-J_{k}-A_{k}
\end{array}\right]
$$
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- Fixed ranks $r, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k}$.
- Minimize sum of squared residuals $\|R\|_{F}^{2}$, where

$$
R=\left[\begin{array}{c}
R_{1} \\
R_{2} \\
\vdots \\
R_{k}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{1}-J_{1}-A_{1} \\
X_{2}-J_{2}-A_{2} \\
\vdots \\
X_{k}-J_{k}-A_{k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Iterative approach:
- Fix $J$. Find $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{k}$ to minimize $\|R\|_{F}^{2}$
- Fix $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{k}$. Find $J$ to minimize $\|R\|_{F}^{2}$.
- WLOG may enforce orthogonality of $J$ and $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}$ :

$$
J A^{\prime}=0_{p \times p}
$$

## Dimension Reducing Shortcut

- Given singular value decompositions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{SVD}\left(X_{1}\right) & =U_{1} \Lambda_{1} V_{1}^{T} \\
\vdots & \\
\operatorname{SVD}\left(X_{k}\right) & =U_{k} \Lambda_{k} V_{k}^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

define $X_{i}^{\perp}=\Lambda_{i} V_{i}^{\top}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, k$.

- Then, $X_{i}^{\perp}$ are $n \times n$ (assuming $p_{i}>n$ ) and preserve covariance and Euclidian distance between columns (samples).
- Performing iterative process on $X_{i}^{\perp}$ instead of $X_{i}$ can be substantially faster and gives identical results.
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- $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}$ of different scale and dimension.
- Suggest centering and scaling by total variation.
- Subtract mean from each row: $X_{i} \rightarrow X_{i}^{\text {centered }}$
- Divide by $\left\|X_{i}^{\text {centered }}\right\|_{F}$ :
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## Key Issue: Scaling of Individual Datasets

- $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}$ of different scale and dimension.
- Suggest centering and scaling by total variation.
- Subtract mean from each row: $X_{i} \rightarrow X_{i}^{\text {centered }}$
- Divide by $\left\|X_{i}^{\text {centered }}\right\|_{F}$ :

$$
X_{i}^{\text {scaled }}=\frac{X_{i}^{\text {centered }}}{\left\|X_{i}^{\text {centered }}\right\|_{F}}
$$

- Gives each dataset same total signal power.


## Rank Selection: Permutation Testing Approach

- Extends Peres-Neto et al. (2005)...
- To estimate rank of joint structure
- Compare
- Singular values of concatenated matrix
- Singular values after permuting samples within each datatype.
- To estimate rank of individual structure
- Compare:
- Singular values of individual matrix
- Singular values after permuting samples within each row.


## The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Data

- Multiple kinds of data for the same set of 348 breast cancer tumors, from TCGA.
- Gene expression data (17814 genes)
- miRNA data ( 655 miRNAs)
- Copy number data ( 200,000 probes / 19,780 genes)
- Methylation data (21,986 CG regions)
- Mutation data ( 12,481 genes)
- Protein data
- Tumors classified into 5 subtypes based on the expression data:
- Basal (66 samples)
- Her2 (42 samples)
- Luminal A (154 samples)
- Luminal B (81 samples)
- Normal (5 samples)
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## JIVE application: Gene expression and miRNA

- Applied JIVE decomposition to Gene expression and miRNA.
- Permutation testing identifies
- Rank 4 joint structure
- Rank 22 structure individual to gene expression
- Rank 9 structure individual to miRNA
- Variation decomposition:



## JIVE Estimates
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## JIVE Estimates

- Gene individual (reorder rows and columns)
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## JIVE Estimates (factorized)
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## miRNAs

## Joint PCs








## JIVE Estimates (factorized)



## miRNAs

## Individual PCs: Expression








## JIVE Estimates (factorized)



## miRNAs

## Individual PCs: miRNA








## Variable sparsity

- Important signal only on a subset of variables
- Motivates use of a sparse model
- Can aid results and interpretation.
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## Variable Sparsity

- Penalized sum-of-squares criterion

$$
\|R\|_{F}^{2}+\lambda \operatorname{Pen}(U)+\sum \lambda_{i} \operatorname{Pen}\left(W_{i}\right)
$$

where Pen is a penalty designed to induce sparsity in the loading vectors and $\lambda, \lambda_{i}$ are weights.

- E.g, Pen may be an $L_{1}$ penalty, corresponding to the Lasso:

$$
\operatorname{Pen}(U)=\sum\left|u_{i j}\right|
$$

- Iterative approach:
- Fix $U, S$ : Find $W_{i}, S_{i}$ to minimize $\left\|R_{i}\right\|_{F}^{2}-\lambda_{i} \operatorname{Pen}\left(W_{i}\right)$, for each $i=1, \ldots, k$.
- Fix $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{k}, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}$ : Find $U, S$ to minimize $\|R\|_{F}^{2}-\lambda \operatorname{Pen}(U)$.


## Sparsity Illustration

- JIVE:



## Joint component row loadings (without sparsity)




## Joint component row loadings (with sparsity)




## Gene-miRNA Sparse JIVE

- First "Sparse" joint component sample scores:
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## Gene-miRNA Sparse JIVE

- First "Sparse" joint component sample scores:

- Genes and miRNAs with non-zero loadings:

- red: positive loading; blue: negative loading
- miRNA linked if gene is a predicted target in at least two of Pictar, miRanda, TargetScan and RNA22

Future work: Factorial JIVE

- More than two datasets (standard JIVE):


Future work: Factorial JIVE

- Factorial model:



## Related work

- Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) and Partial Least Squares (PLS)
- H Hotelling, 1936; H. Wold, 1965.
- Find pairs of direction vectors to maximize correlation (CCA) or covariance (PLS)
- Limited to two datasets
- Overfitting in high-dimensionsal cases (esp. CCA)
- Interference from individual structure (esp. PLS)
- Integrative Network Models
- A Adourian et al., 2008; C Xing and DB Dunson, 2011.
- Focused on pairwise relationships, not global variation
- Hierarchical Latent Variable Models
- V. Baladandayuthapani et al., 2008; C Di, 2009; L Zhou et al., 2010.
- Analysis of different sample groups on the same kind of data
- Models differences between groups, not shared structure across datatypes


## JIVE: additional applications

- For a single datatype, could look over different sample sets
- Sick vs healthy
- Treatment vs control
- Image analysis
- Estimate "background" and unique characteristics from collection of images
- Financial data
- Explore variation across and within financial markets
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## Horizontal JIVE

| Tumor | Normal |
| :---: | :---: |
| samples | samples |

12
11


| Individual | Individual |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\right.$ rank $\left.r_{1}\right)$ | $\left(\right.$ rank $\left.r_{2}\right)$ |

## Horizontal JIVE



## JIVE: additional applications

- For a single datatype, could look over different sample sets
- Sick vs healthy
- Treatment vs control
- Image analysis
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- Explore variation across and within financial markets


## Mixed Art



## Mixed Art: Estimated decomposition


$\because$
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## Mixed Art: Actual decomposition


$+$


## JIVE: additional applications

- For a single datatype, could look over different sample sets
- Sick vs healthy
- Treatment vs control
- Image analysis
- Estimate "background" and unique characteristics from collection of images
- Financial data
- Explore variation across and within financial markets


## India + G7 Country Returns <br> (5 year Rolling Window, 1 World Factor, 1 Unique Country Factor)



Thanks to Philip Howard, UNC Kenan-Flagler Business School

## THANK YOU!
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