ORIE 779: Functional Data Analysis

From last meeting

Review of Linear Algebra

- norms, inner products, orthonormal bases & projections
- singular value and eigen decompositions

Review of Multivariate Probability

- theoretical and empirical mean vectors
- theoretical and empirical covariance matrices

Mathematics behind PCA

- "Rotate data" using eigen-decomp. of covariance matrix
- Then optimization problem(s) are simple

PCA dual problem

Idea: Recall for HDLSS settings: Sample size = n < d = dimension

So
$$rank(\hat{\Sigma}) \le n$$
, and $\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_d = 0$

Thus have "really only n dimensional eigen problem"

Can exploit this to boost computation speed

Again use notation:
$$\widetilde{X} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} (\underline{X}_1 - \underline{X} \quad \cdots \quad \underline{X}_n - \underline{X})_{d \times n}$$

Recall: $\hat{\Sigma}_{d \times d} = \widetilde{X}\widetilde{X}^t$ has the eigenvalue decomp. $\hat{\Sigma} = BDB^t$

Study via Singular Value Decomposition of \widetilde{X} :

$$\widetilde{X} = USV^t$$
, where $U^tU = V^tV = I$

giving:

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \widetilde{X}\widetilde{X}^{t} = \left(USV^{t}\right)\left(USV^{t}\right)^{t} = USV^{t}VS^{t}U^{t} = USS^{t}U^{t}$$

By uniqueness of eigen-analysis, have (except for order):

$$B = U$$
 $D = SS^{t}$

For n < d:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & s_n \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{d \times n} , \text{ so } D = SS^t = \begin{pmatrix} s_1^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & & & \\ & & s_n^2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & \cdots & & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{d \times d}$$

Thus:

- could do SVD of \widetilde{X} , to compute Eigen-analysis
- i.e. replace $d \times d$ analysis by $d \times n$
- Singular Values are $\pm \sqrt{-}$ of cov. matrix eigenvalues
- (usually taken as + square-root)
- Columns of *U* can be used for PCA projections
- since they are the eigenvectors (i.e. B = U)
- so PCA is *both*:
 - eigen-decomposition of covariance matrix
 - singular value decomposition of data matrix

Improve to $n \times n$ analysis?

Can make U and V "change places" by considering \widetilde{X}^{t}

Singular Value Decomposition is: $\widetilde{X}^{t} = (USV^{t})^{t} = VS^{t}U^{t}$

Sizes are useful:

$$n \times d = n \times n \leftrightarrow n \times d \leftrightarrow d \times d$$

Return to an eigen representation as:

$$\widetilde{X}^{t}\widetilde{X} = VS^{t}U^{t}(USV^{t}) = VS^{t}SV^{t}$$

Again for n < d:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & s_n \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{d \times n}, \text{ so define } D^* = S^t S = \begin{pmatrix} s_1^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & s_n^2 \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n}$$

and define $B^* = V$, to give

 $\widetilde{X}^{t}\widetilde{X} = B^{*}D^{*}(B^{*})^{t}$

the "dual" eigen representation

Have "dual eigen problem", where

- Treat \widetilde{X}^t as "data matrix"
- i.e. rows of data matrix \widetilde{X} are replaced by columns
- Based on "dual covariance matrix" $\hat{\Sigma}_{n \times n}^* = \widetilde{X}^t \widetilde{X}$
- "inner product of data matrix"
- compared to "outer product" for calculation of $\hat{\Sigma}_{d \times d} = \widetilde{X}\widetilde{X}^{t}$
- for n < d, have faster $n \times n$ eigen-calculation

Now suppose know sol'n to dual problem, i.e. know B^* and D^*

How do we find *B* and *D*?

{Next time: this can be more cleanly done using SVD: $X^{t} = VS^{t}U^{t} \Rightarrow X^{t}U = VS^{t}$, then use structure of S to "invert"}

A heuristic approach:

i. want *B* so that

 $D = B^{t} \hat{\Sigma} B = B^{t} \widetilde{X} \widetilde{X}^{t} B$

ii. choose *B* to introduce form $\widetilde{X}^{t}\widetilde{X} = \Sigma^{*}$, i.e. $B = \widetilde{X}C$ (for some *C*), then

$$D = C^{t} \widetilde{X}^{t} (\widetilde{X} \widetilde{X}^{t}) \widetilde{X} C = C^{t} (\widetilde{X}^{t} \widetilde{X}) (\widetilde{X}^{t} \widetilde{X}) C = C^{t} \Sigma^{*} \Sigma^{*} C$$

iii. choose *C* to relate to $\Sigma^* = B^*D^*B^{*t}$, i.e. $B^{*t}\Sigma^*B^* = D^*$ i.e. $C = B^*R$ (for some *R*), then

$$D = C^{t} \Sigma^{*} (B^{*}B^{*t}) \Sigma^{*}C = (R^{t}B^{*t}) \Sigma^{*}B^{*}B^{*t} \Sigma^{*} (B^{*}R)$$
$$D = R^{t} (B^{*t} \Sigma^{*}B^{*}) (B^{*t} \Sigma^{*}B^{*}) R = R^{t}D^{*}D^{*}R$$

iv. Choose *R* to "preserve energy",

i.e. "make *B* orthonormal",

i.e. "make *B* a rotation matrix",

i.e. choose $R = (D^*)^{-1/2}$, then

 $D = D^*$, i.e. same (nonzero) eigenvalues!

Heuristic summary: Want $B = \widetilde{X}C = \widetilde{X}(B^*R) = \widetilde{X}B^*(D^*)^{-1/2}$

Technical problems:

- dimensions wrong: $B_{d \times d}$, $\widetilde{X}_{d \times n}$, $B_{n \times n}^*$, $D_{n \times n}^*$
- $D_{n \times n}^*$ not full rank?, thus:
 - root inverse doesn't exist?
 - *B* is not a basis matrix

Solution: Assume
$$D^* = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$
 is of full rank,

i.e. $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n > 0$

Then let $\breve{B}_{d\times n} = \widetilde{X}B^*(D^*)^{-1/2}$,

Where

$$(D^*)^{-1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^{-1/2} & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

PCA dual problem (cont.)

And "fill out the rest of *B*" with "columns in null space",

I.e. let $G_{d \times (d-n)}$ be d-n orthonormal column vectors,

that are orthogonal to \widetilde{X} (compute by Gram-Schmidt process)

Thus "pad \underline{B} out to a basis matrix", by defining:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \breve{B} & G \end{pmatrix}$$

Check orthonormality:

$$B^{t}B = \begin{pmatrix} \breve{B}^{t} \\ G^{t} \end{pmatrix} (\breve{B} \quad G) = \begin{pmatrix} \breve{B}^{t}\breve{B} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

but

$$\begin{split} \vec{B}^{t} \vec{B} &= \left(\widetilde{X} B^{*} \left(D^{*} \right)^{-1/2} \right)^{t} \left(\widetilde{X} B^{*} \left(D^{*} \right)^{-1/2} \right) = \left(\left(D^{*} \right)^{-1/2} B^{*t} \widetilde{X}^{t} \right) \left(\widetilde{X} B^{*} \left(D^{*} \right)^{-1/2} \right) \\ \vec{B}^{t} \vec{B} &= \left(D^{*} \right)^{-1/2} B^{*t} \left(\widetilde{X}^{t} \widetilde{X} \right) B^{*} \left(D^{*} \right)^{-1/2} = \left(\left(D^{*} \right)^{-1/2} B^{*t} \right) \Sigma^{*} \left(B^{*} \left(D^{*} \right)^{-1/2} \right) \\ \vec{B}^{t} \vec{B} &= \left(D^{*} \right)^{-1/2} \left(B^{*t} \Sigma^{*} B^{*} \right) \left(D^{*} \right)^{-1/2} = \left(D^{*} \right)^{-1/2} D^{*} \left(D^{*} \right)^{-1/2} = I \end{split}$$

so *B* is orthonormal.

Check diagonalization:

$$B^{t}\hat{\Sigma}B = \begin{pmatrix} \breve{B}^{t} \\ G^{t} \end{pmatrix} \hat{\Sigma}\begin{pmatrix} \breve{B} & G \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \breve{B}^{t} \\ G^{t} \end{pmatrix} (\hat{\Sigma}\breve{B} & \hat{\Sigma}G) = \begin{pmatrix} \breve{B}^{t}\hat{\Sigma}\breve{B} & \breve{B}^{t}\hat{\Sigma}G \\ G^{t}\hat{\Sigma}\breve{B} & G^{t}\hat{\Sigma}G \end{pmatrix}$$

but

$$\begin{split} \breve{B}^{t}\hat{\Sigma}\breve{B} &= \left(D^{*}\right)^{-1/2}B^{*t}\widetilde{X}^{t}\hat{\Sigma}\widetilde{X}B^{*}\left(D^{*}\right)^{-1/2} = \\ &= \left(D^{*}\right)^{-1/2}B^{*t}\widetilde{X}^{t}\widetilde{X}^{t}\widetilde{X}B^{*}\left(D^{*}\right)^{-1/2} = \\ &= \left(D^{*}\right)^{-1/2}B^{*t}\Sigma^{*}\Sigma^{*}B^{*}\left(D^{*}\right)^{-1/2} = \left(D^{*}\right)^{-1/2}B^{*t}\Sigma^{*}\left(B^{*}B^{*t}\right)\Sigma^{*}B^{*}\left(D^{*}\right)^{-1/2} = \\ &= \left(D^{*}\right)^{-1/2}\left(B^{*t}\Sigma^{*}B^{*}\right)\left(B^{*t}\Sigma^{*}B^{*}\right)\left(D^{*}\right)^{-1/2} = \left(D^{*}\right)^{-1/2}D^{*}D^{*}\left(D^{*}\right)^{-1/2} = D^{*} \end{split}$$

And using the orthogonality of the columns of \widetilde{X} and G

$$\vec{B}^{t}\hat{\Sigma}G = \vec{B}^{t}\left(\vec{X}\vec{X}^{t}\right)G = \left(\vec{B}^{t}\vec{X}\right)\left(\vec{X}^{t}G\right) = \left(\vec{B}^{t}\vec{X}\right)0 = 0_{n\times(d-n)}$$

$$G^{t}\hat{\Sigma}\vec{B} = G^{t}\left(\vec{X}\vec{X}^{t}\right)\vec{B} = \left(G^{t}\vec{X}\right)\left(\vec{X}^{t}\vec{B}\right) = 0\left(\vec{X}^{t}\vec{B}\right) = 0_{(d-n)\times n}$$

$$G^{t}\hat{\Sigma}G = G^{t}\left(\vec{Y}\vec{Y}^{t}\right)G = \left(G^{t}\vec{Y}\right)\left(\vec{Y}^{t}G\right) = 0, 0 = 0$$

$$G^{t}\hat{\Sigma}G = G^{t}\left(\widetilde{X}\widetilde{X}^{t}\right)G = \left(G^{t}\widetilde{X}\right)\left(\widetilde{X}^{t}G\right) = 0 \cdot 0 = 0_{(d-n)\times(d-n)}$$

Thus:

$$B^{t}\hat{\Sigma}B = \begin{pmatrix} D^{*} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & \ddots & & & \\ & \lambda_{n} & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots & 0\\ 0 & & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = D$$

Aside about orthogonal component G:

Usually don't need to compute,

Since only care about "eigenvectors for non-zero eigenvalues"

Statistics of PCA

Above "optimization of directions" approach to PCA:

- gives useful insights
- shows can compute for *any* point cloud

But there are other views.

Alternate View 1: Gaussian likelihood

When data are multivariate Gaussian

PCA finds "major axes of elliptical contours"

of Probability density (maximum likelihood estimate)

Mistaken idea: PCA only useful for Gaussian data

Simple check for Gaussian distribution:

Standardized parallel coordinate plot

1. Subtract coordinate wise median (robust version of mean)

(not good as "point cloud center", but now only looking at coordinates)

2. Divide by MAD / MAD(N(0,1))

(put on same scale as "standard deviation")

3. See if data stays in range –3 to +3

Check for Gaussian dist'n: Standardized parallel coordinate plot

E.g. <u>Cornea data</u> (recall <u>image view</u> of data)

- several data points > 20 "s.d.s" from the center
- distribution clearly not Gaussian
- strong kurtosis
- but PCA still gave strong insights

Alternate View 2: Dimension reduction

An approach to HDLSS data: try to reduce dimensionality

PCA approach:

- keep only largest eigenvalue projections
- optimal reduction (in sense of Sums of Squares)

Alternate View 3: Data compression (e.g. PKzip)

Loss-less: delete components with 0 eigenvalues

With loss: PCA gives optimal compression

(in sense of Sums of Squares)

PCA for shapes

New Data Set: Corpus Callosum data

- "window" between right and left halves of the brain
- from a vertical slice MR image of head
- "segmented" (ie. found boundary)
- shape is resulting closed curve
- have sample from n = 71 people
- Feature vector of d = 80 coefficients from

Fourier boundary representation (closed curve)

PCA for shapes (cont.)

Modes of shape variation?

To do later (???):

- 1. PCA for Corpus Callosum Data Fourier & M-Rep
- 2. PCA variations (correlation matrix)
- 3. PCA and Clusters Mass Flux Data SiZer
- 4. Revisit Paul's Toy M-rep examples (from cluster viewpoint)
- 5. PCA time series chemometrics data
- 6. Independent Component Analysis
- 7. In vector space, orthogonal basis introduction
- 8. Fourier basis
- 9. Legendre basis
- 10. Tensor product Fourier Legendre basis
- 11. Zernike basis
- 12. Revisit cornea data? (compare "raw image" with "fit images", fiddle with Cornean power map? (do this at home?), use Figure from LMTZ paper, see directories D:\DellInspiron7000\SW30\Docs\Steve and D:\DellInspiron7000\SW30\Pictures)
- 13. Elliptical Fourier bases

14. Complex plane representation (no simple real valued basis)

- 15. Corpora Collosa Approximation
- 16. Discrimination Corpus Collosum Data
- **17. Fisher Linear Discrimination**
- 18. High dimensional geometry?
- 19. Support Vector Machines
- 20. Polynomial Embedding
- 21. Micro-Array Data analysis
- 22. Normal KerCli discrimination (in Cornean/demo)