ORIE 779: Functional Data Analysis

From last meeting

Principal Component Analysis of Cornea Data

Try alternate "static view" (better projection?)

- PC1: Overall curvature & "with the rule" astigmatism
- PC2: Steeper superior vs. inferior & clear outlier effect
- PC3: With the rule vs. against the rule astigmatism & outlier

From last meeting (cont.)

Clear problem with PCA:

Edge effects \Rightarrow "outliers" \Rightarrow "pulls off <u>PC direction</u>"

Outlier Deletion: still had problems with 10% of data deleted

Motivated alternate approach: Robust Statistical Methods Main idea: downweight (instead of delete) outliers

Robust Functional Data Analysis

What is "multivariate median"?

There are *several*! ("median" generalizes in different ways)

i. Coordinate-wise median

$$\begin{pmatrix} median(X_{i,1}) \\ \vdots \\ median(X_{i,d}) \end{pmatrix}$$

- often worst
- not rotation invariant (2-d data uniform on "L")
- can lie on convex hull of data (same example)
- thus poor notion of "center"

What is "multivariate median" (cont.)?

- ii. Simplicial depth (a. k. a. "data depth"): Liu, R. Y. (1990) "On a notion of data depth based on random simplices", *Annals of Statistics*, 18, 405-414.
 - "paint thickness" of d+1 dim "simplices" with corners at data
 - Nice idea
 - Good invariance properties
 - slow to compute

What is "multivariate median" (cont.)?

iii. Huber's L^p M-estimate:

Given data $X_1, ..., X_n \in \Re^d$, estimate "center of population" by

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg\min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|X_i - \theta\|_2^p$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ is the usual Euclidean norm.

Here: use only p = 1 (minimal impact by outliers)

 L^1 M-estimate (cont.):

A view of minimizer: solution of

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| X_{i} - \theta \right\|_{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_{i} - \theta}{\left\| X_{i} - \theta \right\|_{2}}$$

A useful viewpoint is based on:

 $P_{Sph(\theta,1)}$ = "Proj'n of data onto sphere cent'd at θ with radius 1"

And representation:

$$P_{Sph(\theta,1)}X_{i} = \theta + \frac{X_{i} - \theta}{\left\|X_{i} - \theta\right\|_{2}}$$

 L^1 M-estimate (cont.):

Thus the solution of

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| X_{i} - \theta \right\|_{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_{i} - \theta}{\left\| X_{i} - \theta \right\|_{2}}$$

is the solution of:

$$0 = avg\{P_{Sph(\theta,1)}X_i - \theta : i = 1,...,n\}$$

So $\hat{\theta}$ is "location where projected data are centered"

[toy data illustration]

"slide sphere around until mean (of projected data) is at center"

 L^1 M-estimate (cont.):

Additional literature:

Called "geometric median" (long before Huber) by: Haldane (1948) Note on the median of a multivariate distribution. *Biometrika*, 35, 414-415.

Shown unique for d > 1 by: Milasevic and Ducharme (1987) Uniqueness of the spatial median, *Annals of Statistics*, 15, 1332-1333.

Useful iterative algorithm: Gower (1974). The mediancentre. Applied Statistics, 23, 466-470 (see also Sec. 3.2 of Huber). (Cornea Data experience: works well for d = 66)

 L^1 M-estimation for Cornea Data

Sample Mean

<u>L¹ M-estimate</u>

- Definite improvement
- But outliers still have some influence
- Improvement? (will suggest one soon)

Now have robust measure of "center", how about "spread"?

I.e. how can we do robust PCA?

Recall:

2-d Toy Example

Parabolas with 1 outlier

Approaches to Robust PCA:

- 1. Robust Estimation of Covariance Matrix
- 2. Projection Pursuit
- 3. Spherical PCA

Robust PCA 1: Robust Estimation of Covariance Matrix

- A. Component-wise Robust Covariances:
 - Major problem: hard to get non-negative definiteness
- B. Minimum Volume Ellipsoid: Rousseeuw, and Leroy (1987) *Robust regression and outlier detection*, Wiley, New York.
 - Requires n > d (at least in available software)
 - Needed for simple definition of "affine invariant"

Important Aside

Major difference between Functional Data Analysis

& Classical Multivariate Analysis

High Dimension, Low Sample Size Data

(sample size n < dimension d)

Classical Multivariate Analysis:

- start with "sphering data" (multiply by $\Sigma^{-1/2}$)
- but $\Sigma^{-1/2}$ doesn't for HDLSS data

Important Aside (cont.)

Classical Approach to HDLSS data:

"Don't have enough data for analysis, go get more"

Unworkable (and getting worse) for many modern settings:

- Medical Imaging (e.g. Cornea Data, n = 43 and d = 66)
- Micro-arrays & gene expression (e.g. n = 86 and d = 400)
- Chemometric spectra data (e.g. n = 81 and d = 1500)

Robust PCA 2: Projection Pursuit

Idea: focus "finding direction of greatest variability"

Reference: Li, G. and Chen, Z. (1985) "Projection pursuit approach to robust dispersion matrices and principal components: primary theory and Monte Carlo", *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 80, 759-776.

Problems:

- Robust estimates of "spread" are nonlinear
- Results in many local optima
- Makes search problem very challenging
- Especially in very high dimensions
- Most examples have d = 4, 5
- Guoying Li: "I've heard of d = 20, but 60 seems too big"

Robust PCA 3: Spherical PCA

Idea: use "projection to sphere" idea from L^1 M-estimation

In particular project data to centered sphere

[toy conventional PCA]

[toy spherical PCA]

- "hot dog" of data becomes "ice caps"
- easily found by PCA
- outliers "pulled in to reduce influence"
- radius of sphere unimportant

Spherical PCA:

Toy example: parabolas, with 1 outlier [recall raw data]

Recall conventional <u>PCA</u>:

- Outlier had small effect on mean
- Outlier had some effect on PC1 direction
- Outlier completely dominated PC2 direction
- Original (with no outlier) PC2 became PC3

Spherical PCA (cont.):

Toy example: parabolas, with 1 outlier (cont.)

Spherical PCA:

- Mean looks "smoother"
- PC1 nearly "flat" (unaffected by outlier)
- PC2 is nearly "tilt" (again unaffected by outlier)
- PC3 finally strongly driven by outlier
- OK, since all other directions "about equal in variation"

<u>Spherical PCA</u> (cont.):

Toy example: parabolas, with 1 outlier (cont.)

- Neither PC3 nor PC4 "caught all of the outlier"
- An effect of this down-weighting method
- PC R^2 lines for "sphered data"
- PC R^2 symbols show "SS for curves" (visual impression)
- Latter are not monotonic!
- Reflects "reduced influence" property of spherical PCA

Spherical PCA for Cornea Data:

Some improvement, but still had outlier influence

Reason: projection onto sphere "distorts the data"

Problem is visible in Parallel Coordinate Plot for Cornea Data

Top Plot: Zernike Coefficients

- All n = 43 very similar
- Most action in a few low frequencies

Parallel Coordinate Plot for Cornea Data (cont.)

Middle Plot: Zernike Coefficients – median

- Most Variation in "lowest frequencies"
- E.g. as in "Fourier compression of smooth signals"
- Projecting on sphere will destroy this
- By magnifying high frequency behavior

Bottom Plot: discussed later

Solution: "Elliptical Analysis"

Main idea: project data onto "suitable ellipse", not sphere

Which ellipse? (in general, this is problem that PCA solves!)

Simplification: Consider ellipses "parallel to coordinate axes"

Elliptical Analysis (cont.):

Simple Implentation: via coordinate axis rescaling

- 1. Divide each axis by MAD
- 2. Project Data to sphere (in transformed space)
- 3. Return to original space (mul'ply by orig'l MAD) for analysis

Where MAD = Median Absolute Deviation (from median)

 $= median(x_i - median(x_i))$

(simple, high breakdown, outlier resistant measure of "scale")

Elliptical Estimate of "center":

Do L^1 M-estimation in transformed space (then transform back)

Results for cornea data:

Sample Mean

Spherical Center

Elliptical Center

- Elliptical clearly best
- Nearly no edge effect